


WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Keldeo GX

WT-4RZX-ZM5H

7.5

NM+

How this grade was reached

A card with clear handling. Held back by edges, at 3.5. Final grade: **7.5 (NM+)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 9

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	51.4 / 48.6	55.3 / 44.7	measured by the grader	9
back	53.7 / 46.3	50.7 / 49.3	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS 7.5

1 ON THE FRONT

Wear that shows plainly on inspection, without magnification.

EDGES 3.5

10 ON THE BACK

Heavy wear across this axis.

SURFACE 9.5

3 ON THE FRONT · 1 ON THE BACK

Handling only. Nothing here shows without looking for it.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

> 738 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 7.38 rounded to the nearest half point = **7.5**

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	51.4 / 48.6	55.3 / 44.7	55.3 / 44.7	within 60 / 40	9
back	53.7 / 46.3	50.7 / 49.3	53.7 / 46.3	within 55 / 45	10

A card is only as well centred as its worse face. Here that is the front, so centering is 9.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	10
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	**9** THIS CARD
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1



BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2

The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.

FRONT




TL


TR





BL


BR

BACK



TL


TR










What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER



BACK — 10 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
2. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
3. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
4. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
5. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
6. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
7. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
8. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
9. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
10. **Edge Chip** FAINT
    edge chip (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · whitening** FAINT
  Very small pale nick on the left edge near the bottom-left corner, under 1mm, breaking the silver border line.
- **front · whitening** FAINT
  Faint light speckling along the silver border at the top-right, roughly 2-3mm in from the corner, reading as very light edge whitening.
- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Diffuse hazy patch across the holo in the centre of the artwork, over Keldeo's body, where the foil sparkle looks flattened rather than crisp.
- **front · corner ding** FAINT
  Bottom-right corner tip looks slightly blunted rather than needle-sharp, with a pinpoint of lighter tone under 1mm at the very apex.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  A hairline of lighter blue along the left edge of the dark navy border, mid-height, suggesting minimal edge rub.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.