

WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Electrode

WT-8H3X-CVJ6

8.5

NM-MT+

How this grade was reached

A solid card with visible wear. Held back by surface, at 6.5. Final grade: **8.5 (NM-MT+)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 10

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	50.7 / 49.3	51.0 / 49.0	measured by the grader	10
back	54.0 / 46.0	53.5 / 46.5	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

> **CORNERS** **9**
>
> 1 ON THE FRONT
>
> Handling only. Nothing here shows without looking for it.

> **EDGES** **7.5**
>
> 8 ON THE BACK
>
> Wear that shows plainly on inspection, without magnification.

> **SURFACE** **6.5**
>
> 7 ON THE FRONT · 3 ON THE BACK
>
> Noticeable wear on this axis — chipping, whitening or surface marks that are visible without magnification.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

> 825 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 8.25 rounded to the nearest half point = **8.5**

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	50.7 / 49.3	51.0 / 49.0	51.0 / 49.0	within 52 / 48	10
back	54.0 / 46.0	53.5 / 46.5	54.0 / 46.0	within 55 / 45	10

Both faces measured 10, so that is the centering sub-grade.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	**10** THIS CARD
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1

BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2


The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.

FRONT






TL



TR





BL





BR

BACK



TL


TR










What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 3 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Indentation** PROMINENT
   indentation (prominent)
2. **Print Defect** FAINT
   print defect (faint)
3. **Print Defect** FAINT
   print defect (faint)



BACK — 8 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
2. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
3. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
4. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
5. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
6. **Edge Chip** FAINT
   edge chip (faint)
7. **Edge Chip** NOTICEABLE
   edge chip (noticeable)
8. **Indentation** PROMINENT
   indentation (prominent)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · whitening** FAINT
  Light whitening along the yellow left border above the crease, visible as a paler line against the border colour.
- **front · crease** NOTICEABLE
  A roughly 4mm diagonal crease/nick breaking the yellow left border about three-quarters of the way down, just left of the Chain Lightning text block.
- **front · corner ding** FAINT
  Bottom-left corner shows slight blunting and light colour loss at the very tip of the yellow border.
- **front · stain** FAINT
  Faint blotchy discolouration in the tan border around the flavour-text box along the lower portion of the card.
- **front · print defect** NOTICEABLE
  A pale rectangular patch roughly 20x20mm sits over the Stage 1 Poke Ball symbol, dulling the art and border colour in that block.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  Light speckled whitening along the blue border near the top-right corner of the back.
- **back · surface scuff** FAINT
  Faint diffuse scuffing across the Poke Ball centre of the back, slightly flattening the gloss there.
- **back · edge chip** NOTICEABLE
  A pale 3-4mm scuff/chip in the dark blue right border about 80% of the way down the back.

Card details

A card of this name and number, out of **64**, exists in the public card catalogue — **Jungle**, R. The details on this certificate match it.

That printing is illustrated by **Mitsuhiro Arita**, published **1999-06-16**. Both come from the public catalogue, not from WinnTCG.

> **This is not a test of authenticity.** It confirms the card is the card it claims to be. A good counterfeit of a real card would match exactly the same way, and the tests that would settle it (light through the core, weight, thickness, surface texture) are laboratory tests, and WinnTCG does not run them. We had this card in our hands and graded what we could see; that is not a statement that it is genuine.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.