


WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Noctowl

WT-FDXW-D361

10

GEM MINT

How this grade was reached

A flawless card on every axis measured. Held back by edges, at 9.5. Final grade: **10 (Gem Mint)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 10

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	50.3 / 49.7	50.6 / 49.4	measured by the grader	10
back	53.4 / 46.6	50.4 / 49.6	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

> **CORNERS** **10**
>
> Nothing on this axis shows at the magnification the card was graded at.

> **EDGES** **9.5**
>
> 1 ON THE BACK
>
> Edges on both faces look clean and uniformly cut — the back's dark blue border shows no white nicks along any of the four sides, and the front's silver border is continuous. No side-on or raking-light photograph was supplied, so very fine whitening or a rolled edge would not show here.

> **SURFACE** **9.5**
>
> 2 ON THE FRONT
>
> Handling only. Nothing here shows without looking for it.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are combined at fixed weights: centering 30%, corners 23.4%, edges 23.3% and surface 23.3%. A mark the grader pinned takes points off the axis it sits on.

> `977 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 9.77 rounded to the nearest half point = 10`

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	50.3 / 49.7	50.6 / 49.4	50.6 / 49.4	within 52 / 48	10
back	53.4 / 46.6	50.4 / 49.6	53.4 / 46.6	within 55 / 45	10

Both faces measured 10, so that is the centering sub-grade.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	**10** THIS CARD
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1

BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2

The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification

Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.


FRONT
















BACK










BL BR

What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER



BACK — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · whitening** FAINT
  Very faint light speckling along the top-left silver border near the stage/evolution box, possible minor edge whitening rather than printed silver foil texture.
- **front · holo scratch** FAINT
  Possible short light line across the holo foil in the mid-right wing area; could equally be the card's patterned foil texture in this flat scan.
- **back · edge chip** FAINT
  Slight irregularity in the dark blue border along the lower-right edge, consistent with a tiny factory cut nick.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.