

WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

(Gengar)

WT-R9VT-JV6B

10

GEM MINT

How this grade was reached

A flawless card on every axis measured. Held back by surface, at 9. Final grade: **10 (Gem Mint)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 10

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	51.3 / 48.7	52.0 / 48.0	measured by the grader	10
back	53.4 / 46.6	52.5 / 47.5	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS 10

Nothing on this axis shows at the magnification the card was graded at.

EDGES 10

1 ON THE BACK

Nothing on this axis shows at the magnification the card was graded at.

SURFACE 9

2 ON THE FRONT · 4 ON THE BACK

There is no raking-light or tilted shot, so gloss loss, dents and fine hairlines over the dark holo areas are simply not assessable here; the flat frontal lighting shows only faint mottling in the lower text box and a possible light print band, so the grade reflects a clean-looking but unverified surface.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

> 975 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 9.75 rounded to the nearest half point = **10**

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	51.3 / 48.7	52.0 / 48.0	52.0 / 48.0	within 52 / 48	10
back	53.4 / 46.6	52.5 / 47.5	53.4 / 46.6	within 55 / 45	10

Both faces measured 10, so that is the centering sub-grade.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	**10** THIS CARD
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1

BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2


The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.


FRONT














BACK














What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER



BACK — 3 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Whitening** FAINT
   whitening (faint)
2. **Whitening** FAINT
   whitening (faint)
3. **Whitening** FAINT
   whitening (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not

pinned above.

- **front · print line** FAINT
  A faint horizontal tonal band runs across the lower text panel near the attack cost icons, typical of a light print/roller line.
- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Low-contrast mottling across the dark green text box below the ability bar reads as light surface scuffing rather than printed texture.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  Very small light speck at the extreme top-left corner tip of the blue border, consistent with a pinpoint of corner whitening.
- **back · edge chip** FAINT
  A pale nick roughly 1mm long interrupts the dark blue left edge about a third of the way down, just above the Pokemon logo's left leg.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.