


WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Bastiodon

WT-REGS-FWRR

9

MINT

How this grade was reached

A strong card. Held back by edges, at 8.5. Final grade: **9 (Mint)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 9.5

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	51.7 / 48.3	51.3 / 48.7	measured by the grader	10
back	55.0 / 45.0	52.4 / 47.6	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS 9

All eight corner points look sharp and dark with no rounding or fraying visible, but the corners occupy only a few dozen pixels each in these photos, so fine fraying or micro-whitening would not resolve; the number reflects a clean look, not a verified one.

EDGES 8.5

1 ON THE BACK

The back's right edge shows a faint pale sliver near the top and some light speckling lower down, suggesting minor factory or handling whitening; the front edges look clean but the reverse-holo border reflects light, so I cannot confirm the top and bottom edges are unbroken.

SURFACE 8.5

2 ON THE FRONT · 2 ON THE BACK

Light wear, of the kind that shows on close inspection and not before.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

```
888 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 8.88 rounded to the nearest half point = 9
```

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	51.7 / 48.3	51.3 / 48.7	51.7 / 48.3	within 52 / 48	10
back	55.0 / 45.0	52.4 / 47.6	55.0 / 45.0	within 55 / 45	10

The grader filed centering at 9.5.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	**10** THIS CARD
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1

BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2

The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.

FRONT














BACK










BL BR

What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER



BACK — 1 MARK PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Print Defect** FAINT
   print defect (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Diffuse dull patches over the reverse-holo pattern in the text box area; may be holo texture or light scuffing, not separable under this lighting.
- **front · print defect** FAINT
  Bottom copyright line prints slightly soft/faded compared with the rest of the base text.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  Faint light speckling along the right border of the back below midpoint, visible as tiny breaks in

the dark blue ink.

- **back · edge chip** FAINT
  A thin pale sliver runs along the right edge of the back near the top corner, reading as slight whitening or a small nick in the blue border.

Card details

A card of this name and number, out of **84**, exists in the public card catalogue — **Pitch Black**, Rare. The details on this certificate match it.

> **This is not a test of authenticity.** It confirms the card is the card it claims to be. A good counterfeit of a real card would match exactly the same way, and the tests that would settle it (light through the core, weight, thickness, surface texture) are laboratory tests, and WinnTCG does not run them. We had this card in our hands and graded what we could see; that is not a statement that it is genuine.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.