

WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

(Gloom)

WT-SW3X-V631

9.5

MINT+

How this grade was reached

An outstanding card, a half point from perfect. Held back by surface, at 8.5. Final grade: **9.5 (Mint+)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 9.5

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	51.7 / 48.3	52.1 / 47.9	measured by the grader	9.5
back	50.6 / 49.4	51.6 / 48.4	measured by the grader	10

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS 10

1 ON THE BACK

Nothing on this axis shows at the magnification the card was graded at.

EDGES 10

Nothing on this axis shows at the magnification the card was graded at.

SURFACE 8.5

4 ON THE FRONT · 2 ON THE BACK

The holo foil is lit flat with no raking light, so scratches, dents and gloss loss across the entire foil field are effectively unassessed — a bright diagonal streak near the HP box could be either a scuff or a reflection. The back shows no creases or stains and the print looks clean. The grade allows for typical unseen light foil scuffing on an AR card.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

> 950 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 9.5 rounded to the nearest half point = **9.5**

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	51.7 / 48.3	52.1 / 47.9	52.1 / 47.9	within 55 / 45	9.5
back	50.6 / 49.4	51.6 / 48.4	51.6 / 48.4	within 55 / 45	10

A card is only as well centred as its worse face. Here that is the front, so centering is 9.5.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	10
55 / 45	**9.5** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1



BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	**10** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9.5
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2


The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.


Corners, at fixed magnification

Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.


FRONT












BACK














What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 1 MARK PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Print Defect** FAINT
   print defect (faint)



BACK — 1 MARK PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Surface Scuff** FAINT
   surface scuff (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · whitening** FAINT
  The silver border along the top-right edge shows a slightly lighter,

uneven line where the foil layer meets the cut edge.

- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Small dull patch on the silver border to the left of the card name, where gloss looks flatter than the surrounding foil.
- **front · holo scratch** FAINT
  A pale diagonal streak roughly 12mm long runs through the holo pattern above and left of the HP 80, visible as a break in the foil texture.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  A short pale nick in the dark blue border along the lower-left edge, roughly 1-2mm, breaking the otherwise solid navy.
- **back · corner ding** FAINT
  Top-left corner of the blue back shows a very small lightening of the dark border at the extreme tip.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.