

WinnTCG

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Gengar

WT-V37D-HEGH

8.5

NM-MT+

How this grade was reached

A solid card with visible wear. Held back by surface, at 7. Final grade: **8.5 (NM-MT+)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 9

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	54.4 / 45.6	56.9 / 43.1	measured by the grader	9
back	52.9 / 47.1	56.8 / 43.2	measured by the grader	9.5

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS **9.5**

Handling only. Nothing here shows without looking for it.

EDGES **9**

1 ON THE FRONT

Handling only. Nothing here shows without looking for it.

SURFACE **7**

7 ON THE FRONT · 1 ON THE BACK

Several linear marks are visible without hunting — a diagonal line through the upper-right art and a curved line crossing the lower text panel — plus uneven gloss in the text box; however, the front is shot under diffuse light with no raking angle, so I cannot tell whether the lower line is a true crease or a surface scratch, and that distinction could move this number.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

```
863 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 8.63 rounded to the nearest half point = 8.5
```

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	54.4 / 45.6	56.9 / 43.1	56.9 / 43.1	within 60 / 40	9
back	52.9 / 47.1	56.8 / 43.2	56.8 / 43.2	within 60 / 40	9.5

A card is only as well centred as its worse face. Here that is the front, so centering is 9.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	10
55 / 45	9.5
60 / 40	**9** THIS CARD
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1


BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	10
60 / 40	**9.5** THIS CARD
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2


The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.


Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.

FRONT
















BACK









BL BR


What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 2 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Print Defect** NOTICEABLE
   print defect (noticeable)
2. **Print Defect** FAINT
   print defect (faint)



BACK — 1 MARK PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Whitening** FAINT
   whitening (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · whitening** FAINT
  Light pale scuffing on the silver border at the bottom-left corner area of the front.
- **front · scratch** NOTICEABLE
  A fine diagonal line runs through the upper-right artwork across the bare tree branches, catching light differently from the surrounding holo.

- **front · print line** FAINT
  A faint straight line runs near the top of the artwork frame beside the name box, consistent with a print or foil line.
- **front · crease** NOTICEABLE
  A curved light-catching line crosses the lower text box and continues through the weakness/resistance row on the right side.
- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Mottled dull patches across the dark green attack text panel where the gloss looks uneven rather than smooth.
- **front · edge chip** FAINT
  The left edge of the front shows small irregular light nicks along the silver border midway down.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.