


WinnTCG

This certificate has been withdrawn. The grade below is what was originally issued — recorded reason: other, on 2026-08-06. Do not present this card as currently certified.

Grading report — for the owner of this card

Spiritomb

WT-X15X-PAXV

9

MINT

How this grade was reached

A strong card. Held back by surface, at 8.5. Final grade: **9 (Mint)**.

Four axes are graded separately. The overall grade is computed from them, never typed over them. Centering is measured rather than judged, so it is shown as geometry; the other three carry the grader's reading of the card.

CENTERING 9.5

MEASURED, NOT ESTIMATED

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	METHOD	GRADE
front	54.3 / 45.7	52.1 / 47.9	measured by the grader	9.5
back	55.8 / 44.2	51.3 / 48.7	measured by the grader	9.5

Ratios are the card's border widths, not an estimate from eye. The grade follows a fixed tolerance table, so the same measurement always gives the same number.

CORNERS 9

1 ON THE FRONT

All four corners on both faces still read as pointed with no rounding or fraying, and the dark blue back border shows no clear white flecks; only a possible slight blunting at the front bottom-right and a faint pale nick at the back bottom-left keep it off 9.5-10. Note the resolution here is too low to resolve tip-level whitening, so this number is a best-case read from flat overhead light.

EDGES 9

Both faces were shot flat from above, so the edges themselves are not visible in profile and any dinging or roughness along the thickness of the card is unassessed; from the face-on view the borders run clean and unchipped with no whitening beading along the blue back border, which supports a high grade but not a 10.

SURFACE 8.5

2 ON THE FRONT · 2 ON THE BACK

The reverse-holo pattern is sitting under broad diffuse reflection with a rainbow sheen across the artwork and left border, so fine scratches, print lines and gloss loss on the holo cannot be ruled out — no raking-light shot exists. What is visible is a faint vertical streak on the left holo border and slightly uneven sheen in the lower text panel; the back is clean apart from a possible tiny mark near the bottom-left. The half-point deduction reflects those faint marks, not a confirmed clean surface.

How it was graded

1. **Graded in hand.** The card was on our bench, and we photographed it front and back under controlled light. The photographs show which card this is and where anything we marked sits on it.
2. **Centering measured.** The border widths were measured by the grader, who drew the border lines by hand, and a fixed table turns the measurement into a grade. The same split always gives the same number.
3. **Corners, edges and surface read.** An AI takes the first pass on each. A person confirms or overrides every sub-grade before anything is recorded, and pins any mark they find on the photograph.
4. **The overall grade is arithmetic.** Nobody types it. The sub-grades make a score out of 1000, and the grade is that score over a hundred, rounded to the nearest half point.

The four sub-grades are averaged, each counting equally.

> `900 out of 1000 ÷ 100 = 9 rounded to the nearest half point =` **9**

Centering, in detail

Each face is measured twice: left against right, and top against bottom. The wider of the two splits is the one that counts, and the table below turns it into a grade.

FACE	LEFT / RIGHT	TOP / BOTTOM	WIDER SPLIT	TABLE RUNG	GRADE
front	54.3 / 45.7	52.1 / 47.9	54.3 / 45.7	within 55 / 45	9.5
back	55.8 / 44.2	51.3 / 48.7	55.8 / 44.2	within 60 / 40	9.5

Both faces measured 9.5, so that is the centering sub-grade.

FRONT: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
52 / 48	10
55 / 45	**9.5** THIS CARD
60 / 40	9
65 / 35	8.5
70 / 30	8
75 / 25	7
80 / 20	6
85 / 15	5
90 / 10	4
95 / 5	2
any split	1

BACK: WORST SPLIT	GRADE
55 / 45	10
60 / 40	**9.5** THIS CARD
65 / 35	9
70 / 30	8.5
75 / 25	8
80 / 20	7
85 / 15	6
90 / 10	5
95 / 5	3
any split	2


The front is held to a tighter split than the back at every grade.

Corners, at fixed magnification


Each corner is shown over a 9mm square at **30 pixels per millimetre** — the same magnification on every card this bench grades, so a corner here means what it meant on the last one. These are crops of the photographs above, not separate shots.


FRONT














BACK










BL BR

What the grader marked

Numbered pins are where a person looked and found something. They are placed by hand on the photograph, to show where on the card each one is.



FRONT — 0 MARKS PLACED BY THE GRADER



BACK — 1 MARK PLACED BY THE GRADER

1. **Whitening** FAINT
   whitening (faint)

Also observed

Recorded during the first pass and reviewed by the grader. These carry no position — they describe a region, not a point, which is why they are not pinned above.

- **front · surface scuff** FAINT
  Low-contrast mottled patch in the pink text panel below the attack text, visible as slight loss of even sheen rather than a discrete mark.
- **front · corner ding** FAINT
  Bottom-right corner of the front reads very slightly blunted against the purple border compared with the bottom-left corner.

- **front · holo scratch** FAINT
  Faint pale streaking runs vertically along the reverse-holo left border beside the attack box; could be holo scuffing but overlaps the light reflection band.
- **back · whitening** FAINT
  A short pale nick appears on the dark blue border at the bottom-left corner of the back, just above the corner curve.

Card details

A card of this name and number, out of **84**, exists in the public card catalogue — **Pitch Black**, Rare. The details on this certificate match it.

> **This is not a test of authenticity.** It confirms the card is the card it claims to be. A good counterfeit of a real card would match exactly the same way, and the tests that would settle it (light through the core, weight, thickness, surface texture) are laboratory tests, and WinnTCG does not run them. We had this card in our hands and graded what we could see; that is not a statement that it is genuine.

What this report is, and is not

WinnTCG graded this card **in hand**, on the bench. **The card was in our hands**, and we sealed the case ourselves. We photographed it here under controlled light to show which card is in the case and where anything we marked sits on it. The grade was made with the card in hand, so it rests on more than the photographs in this report can show.

This document is yours. It is not published with the certificate, and the public page shows the grade and the photographs without it.